

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/541,458	03/31/2000	AMIT CHATTERJEE	1018.060US1	3666		
7	590 01/16/2003					
STEPHEN A. WIGHT			EXAMINER			
ONE WORLD TRÂDE CENTER 121 S. W. SALMON STREET			WALLACE, SCOTT A			
SUITE 1600 PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2671			
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

		Application	an No	Applicant(s)				
					þ			
k.	Office Action Commons	09/541,45	58 	CHATTERJEE, AMIT				
·_)	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
-	The MAILING DATE of this communic	Scott Wa		2671				
 Period for		аноп аррваго оп тв	g Cover Sneet with tr	ie correspondence address :				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ions of time may be available under the provisions of IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of reply specified above is less than thirty (30) seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuse to reply within the set or extended period for reply wolly received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 737 CFR 1.136(a). In no even incation. days, a reply within the stat story period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply b utory minimum of thirty (30) ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS dication to become ABAND	ne timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>28 October 20</u>	<u>02</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3)□ Dispositio	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims				its is			
4) 🖾 (4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🛛 (5) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ (6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22 and 23</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>24-26</u> is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election r	equirement.					
Application	•							
•	he specification is objected to by the			_				
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
,—	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	by the Examiner.						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	for foreign priority ur	nder 35 II S C & 11	9(a)_(d) or (f)				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim to ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	or foreign priority di	idei 33 0.3.0. § 11	(a)-(u) or (i).				
		locuments have hee	an received					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
	application from the Internation application application from the Internation action	itional Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).					
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional appli	cation).			
,	☐ The translation of the foreign lang cknowledgment is made of a claim fo		•					
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa		· ·	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/541,458

Art Unit: 2671

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kay et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,37,269 in view of Boyer, U.S. Patent No. 5,295,200.
- 4. As per claim 22, Kay et al discloses a computer comprising: a display (fig. 13); a function designed to display a transparent image on the display, the function caching a mask for the image and a transformation of the image used for displaying the image, such that subsequent calls to the function for displaying the image omit regenerating the mask and retransforming the image (column 2 lines 10-27 and column 3 lines 30-34) and an application program designed to call the function a plurality of times to display the image at different location on the display (column 1 lines 24-34). However, Kay et al does not disclose using a class library. This is disclosed in Boyer in column 6 lines 56-65. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the subroutines (class library) of Boyer with the system of Kay et al because this would have made the system semi-automated having most of the work done by the software.
- 5. As per claim 23, Boyer discloses wherein the class library comprises at least one computer program executed by a processor of the computer from a computer-readable medium thereof (column 6 lines 56-65).

Application/Control Number: 09/541,458 Page 3

Art Unit: 2671

and column 6 lines 25-33).

6. As per claim 24, Kay et al discloses wherein the function further generates the mask such that the mask has a plurality of pixels corresponding to the plurality of pixels of transparent image, such that each pixel of the mask that corresponds to a transparent pixel of the image is set to a first predetermined color, and every other pixel of the mask is set to a second predetermined color (column 5 lines 5-15 and 60-63

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 25-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 1-21 are allowed.
- 9. The prior art of record fails to disclose generating a mask of a transparent image such that each pixel of the mask that corresponds to a transparent pixel is set to a first predetermined color and every other pixel of the mask is set to a second predetermined color and transforming the image such that each pixel thereof that is transparent is set to the second predetermined color.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Scott Wallace** whose telephone number is **703-605-5163**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman, can be reached at 703-305-9798.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Application/Control Number: 09/541,458

Page 4

Art Unit: 2671

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600